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Hilary Lappin-Scott & Sarah Burton
This introduction to the special issue on communities covers some of the relevant hot topics currently being studied by microbiologists.

10 Let it flow but don’t let go
Paul E. Kolenbrander & others
Every time we clean our teeth, the biofilm has to reform. Molecular signalling between the plaque bacteria seems to be crucial to this process.

14 Eavesdropping on bacterial conversations
Ian Joint & Karen Tait
Marine biofouling costs millions of dollars a year. Amazingly, seaweeds are using quorum sensing molecules from bacteria to locate suitable sites for attachment and growth.

18 Sugar-coated bacteria
Jackie Parry
Many protozoa can get through the sugary matrix of a biofilm and feed on the bacteria inside, yet the bacteria seem to be biting back and exploiting the grazers for their own benefit.
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David Stickler
Biofilms containing pathogenic bacteria soon develop in urinary catheters, leading to nasty infections. What can be done to solve this distressing problem?

26 The Biofilm Club
Mat Upton
This organization provides a meeting ground for researchers into microbial communities.

28 Microbial ecology of activated sludge
Michael Wagner
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MRSA – national disgrace
Mark Enright
MRSA is always in the news, but improvements to hospital hygiene are not the only measures needed to make this health hazard go away.
As Editor I have the privilege of announcing these enhancements to MT, but it is Ian Atherton and Janet Hurst who convert them into reality. Ian deserves the credit for the new layouts; many thanks to him and the other members of the team at Marlborough House for their enthusiasm and exquisitely good taste in recreating MT for 2005! I would also like to add my thanks and best wishes to Janice Meekings, who has recently retired after being Assistant Editor on MT for many years. Welcome also to Faye Jones, who has been helping with the magazine for a while, but is now taking on an enhanced role.

Feedback on the new design will be very welcome (emtoday@sgm.ac.uk).

Gavin Thomas

SGM Council

November meeting highlights

Honorary Membership

Sir John Skehel FRS, Director of the National Institute for Medical Research, Mill Hill, London, was elected an Honorary Member of the Society.

SGM Prizes & Lectures 2004

The following were awarded.

The Fleming Lecture to Dr Adrian Whitehouse, University of Leeds, for excellence in research on the molecular biology of gammaherpesviruses.

The Colworth Prize Lecture to Professor Rick Titball, DSTL Porton Down, for outstanding contributions to applied microbiological research relating to Clostridium toxins.

The Fred Griffith Review Lecture to Professor David Ellar, University of Cambridge, for long-standing distinguished research relating to the biochemistry and molecular biology of Bacillus spp.

The Peter Wildy Prize in Microbiology Education to Professor Joanna Verran, Manchester Metropolitan University, for excellence in teaching and curriculum development in microbiology.

A more detailed description and appreciation of the prize winners' work will appear in Microbiology Today. Their lectures will be delivered at SGM meetings in 2005.

SGM Industrial Liaison Officer

Council has now agreed a job description for the new position of Industrial Liaison Officer (ILO), and a search committee was formed to prepare a shortlist of interested candidates for the next Council meeting in February. Another industrial members' forum will be held at the Heriot-Watt meeting, and it is hoped that the new ILO will be in post and able to participate.

Scientific publishing

At present intense discussions are ongoing among publishers of scientific journals about the different financial models for publishing scientific papers (‘subscriber-pays’ vs ‘author-pays’). With a stable of four excellent journals, SGM Council has been following the arguments very closely. It was reported that in 2004, a total of 11,700 pages was published in SGM journals.

Ulrich Desselberger, General Secretary

Treasurer-elect

The Society's Treasurer, Peter Stanbury, is due to stand down in September 2005 at the end of his 7 year period of office. Dr Colin Harwood, University of Newcastle-upon-Tyne, has accepted Council's invitation to be his successor. Until he takes on the role next year, Colin will be shadowing Peter and attending all the meetings and discussions relevant to the SGM's financial affairs. A biography of the new Treasurer will appear in a future issue of Microbiology Today.

Nominations 2005

Three members, Alistair Brown, Pauline Handley and Keith Jones retire from Council in September 2005. Nominations are invited from Ordinary Members to fill these vacancies. All nominations must include the written consent of the nominee and the names of the proposer and seconder. Members submitting nominations should indicate the main area of microbiological interest of their nominee, who must have been an Ordinary Member of the Society for at least 2 years. Nominations should be sent to the SGM General Secretary, Dr Ulrich Desselberger, c/o SGM Headquarters to arrive no later than 30 April 2005.

IFR Prize

Catherine Burgess, a PhD student at University College Cork, was awarded the Institute of Food Research Science Communication Prize for her offered paper entitled Lactococcus lactis, a 'vitamin factory' of the future? This was delivered in the symposium on Lactic acid bacteria at the Society's recent meeting at Trinity College Dublin. Catherine received a cheque for £100 and a certificate.

Ultrich Desselberger, General Secretary
Grants
For 2005 only
IUMS Congress Grants
Small grants to members to support attendance at the
IUMS Congresses, San Francisco, 23–28 July 2005 (see p. 27).
Closing date 18 February 2005

Prokaryotic Development Meeting Grants
Small grants to members to support attendance at the Joint
ASM/SGM Meeting, 13–16 July 2005, University of British
Columbia, Vancouver, Canada (see p. 33).
Closing date 25 March 2005

Student schemes
Postgraduate Student Meetings Grants
Grants cover travel and accommodation expenses for
attendance at one SGM meeting each year. Applicants must
be Student Members resident and registered for a PhD in
an EU country.
Closing date for Heriot-Watt Meeting 1 April 2005

President’s Fund
Limited grants to young microbiologists making short
research visits, attending courses, or presenting work at
scientific meetings. Open to Society members resident
and registered for a PhD in an EU country or in their first
postdoctoral position in an EU country.
Closing dates for Research Visit grants: 29 April 2005 and
14 October 2005

Vacation Studentships
To enable undergraduates to work on microbiological
research projects for 6–8 weeks in the summer vacation
before their final year. Applications must be from SGM
members on behalf of named students.
Closing date 25 February 2005

Elective Grants
To enable UK/Ireland medical, dental and veterinary science
undergraduates to work on microbiological research projects
during their elective periods.
Closing date 29 April 2005

Student Society Sponsored Lectures
These cover the travel and other expenses of up to two
speakers on microbiological topics per Society each year at
student society meetings.

Education
Education
Development Fund
Small grants to members for developments likely to
lead to an improvement in the teaching of any aspect
of microbiology relevant to secondary or tertiary
education in the UK. Up to £1,000 is also available for science promotion
activities.

Seminar Speakers Fund
Small grants to cover the travel and other expenses
of up to two speakers on microbiological topics in
annual departmental

Meetings
Technician Meeting
Taster Grants
Offer up to £200 to enable eligible technicians to sample an SGM meeting.

Retired Member Grants
Cover accommodation and the Society Dinner at
one SGM meeting per calendar year.

SfAM/SGM Short
Regional Meeting
Grants
Contribution of up to £2,000 towards the costs of running a regional
microbiology meeting.

Overseas schemes
Watanabe Book Fund
Funding for members permanently resident in a
developing country to purchase microbiology books for their

UNESCO-IUMS-UGM Fellowships
Funding for young microbiologists from developing
countries to either (1) pursue, or complete, part of an
ongoing research programme in a laboratory in a developed
country or (2) attend a workshop or specialist scientific
meeting. See website for closing dates. Applications must
be sent to Prof. Daniel O. Sordelli, Departamento de
Microbiologia, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de
Buenos Aires, Paraguay 2155-Piso 12, (1121) Buenos Aires,
Argentina (esordelli@fmed.uba.ar).
International Development Fund

The following awards have been made for 2004. The Fund exists to provide training courses, publications and other assistance to microbiologists in developing countries.

Prof. Johan Thevelein, Catholic University of Leuven – up to £5,000 to support a practical course on Yeast Molecular Physiology in Brazil.

Dr Ron Dixon, University of Lincoln – up to £2,690 to support staff development training in Malaysia.

Prof. Nick Russell, Imperial College London – up to £2,500 to enhance microbiology teaching at the University of Jayawardenepura, Sri Lanka.

Dr Keith Jones, Lancaster University – up to £3,350 to train Balkan microbiologists in water microbiology.

Dr Iruka Okeke, University of Bradford – up to £2,550 to support a workshop on the diagnosis of bacterial enteric pathogens in Nigeria.

Dr Simon Cutting, Royal Holloway University of London – up to £1,030 to support a course in molecular biology techniques, Vietnam.

Applications for 2005 are invited. Closing date 14 October 2005

International Research Grants

The following awards have been made for 2004. The grants allow scientists to travel to or from the UK and Republic of Ireland to carry out a defined piece of research in any field of microbiology.

Dr Claude Sabeta, Onderstepoort Veterinary Institute to work at the UK Veterinary Laboratory Agency

Dr Stefan Vilcek, University of Veterinary Medicine, Slovakia, to work at the Moredun Research Institute

Dr Wael Hozzein, Cairo University to work at the University of Newcastle

Dr David Lamb, University of Wales, Swansea to work at Vanderbilt University Medical School

Dr Nicholas Johnson, Veterinary Laboratories Agency to work at the Federal Research Centre for Virus Diseases of Animals.

Applications for 2005 are invited. Closing date 14 October 2005

Colworth Prize Lecturer

Professor Rick Titball will deliver his prize lecture, entitled Gas gangrene, an open and closed case, on Tuesday 5 April 2005 at the Society's meeting at Heriot-Watt University. The Colworth Prize Lecture is awarded for an outstanding contribution to applied microbiological research.

Rick Titball is currently Group Leader for Microbiology and a Senior Fellow at the DSTL, Porton Down, UK. He is also an honorary Professor at the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine and a visiting Professor at the Universities of Glasgow, Plymouth and at Birkbeck College. Aside from brief forays into virology, he has worked mainly on mechanisms of virulence of bacterial pathogens. His work over the past decade or so has exploited this information to devise pre-treatments and therapies for diseases caused by candidate biowarfare or bioterrorism agents.

Fleming Lecturer

Dr Adrian Whitehouse will deliver his prize lecture, entitled Understanding the latent-lytic switch in gamma-2 herpesviruses on Wednesday 6 April 2005 at the Society's meeting at Heriot-Watt University. The Fleming Lecture is awarded for outstanding research by a microbiologist in the early stages of their career.

Adrian graduated from Sheffield University, and obtained a DPhil in molecular virology at Oxford in the laboratory of Professor Bob Possee. In 1994 he took up a postdoctoral position with Professor Alex Markham in the Molecular Medicine Unit, St James's University Hospital, where he was awarded a MRC Non-clinical Fellowship in 1998. He joined the School of Biochemistry and Microbiology, University of Leeds, as a Lecturer in 2002.
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**Staff**

**Goodbye to MT and all that...**

Janice Meekings, a long-serving member of the *Microbiology Today* team, took early retirement at the end of 2004. Janice (along with other magazine stalwarts, Ian and Janet) started at the SGM in 1990 where she worked as an editorial assistant on JCV. With previous publishing experience she was persuaded to join the *Quarterly* (as it was then called) staff and moved from ‘downstairs’ (journals) in Marlborough House to ‘upstairs’ (administration) and from part-time to full-time hours. She took over the day-to-day administration of the magazine and the book reviews and has been chasing up authors and reviewers firmly but tactfully ever since. She has also sub-edited all the text—thousands upon thousands of words have been under her fingers on the keyboard—helped to find illustrations, proofread all the copy and carried out the numerous sundry tasks that comprise magazine production. For a few years Janice also ran the advertising procurement, until we were able to pass on this thankless chore to an agency. Her creative input and ideas for content were also invaluable.

In her time the magazine has evolved from a dreary mono publication with few illustrations and content focusing on Society activities, into the colourful general interest magazine packed with features that we see today. This process has taken place under the guidance of a series of Council officers, whom Janice got to know very well. In the early days the copy was typeset and the pages laid out from galley proofs. Gradually the production was taken in-house and now Ian does all the DTP and we print from PDFs. Janice's contribution to the current success of *Microbiology Today* has been considerable and we are all most grateful for her efforts. Not to be forgotten are her other duties in putting together and setting a range of other materials such as the meetings programmes, posters, calendars, journal sales leaflets and the annual report. Another particularly dreadful job has been proof reading the biannual address book of members. Although a ‘backroom’ person at SGM, who was happy to stay in the office, many people in the Society will know Janice through her various roles, particularly the book reviews, but also as the voice of a very helpful relief telephonist. Always public-spirited, she was also the safety officer for a while and kept the staff on their toes.

Janice will be sadly missed at the SGM, but we wish her and husband Barry every happiness in retirement. They are hoping to move away from the frenetic Thames Valley and down to the more peaceful air of Cornwall. In appreciation of her stalwart efforts over the years Council and staff gave Janice a high-spec food processor. Typically she did not want a farewell party, but we were able to make a surprise presentation at the staff Christmas lunch. We are hoping Janice will visit us soon and bring some of the goodies made with the amazing new machine.

Goodbye Janice. *Microbiology Today* won’t be the same without you.

**Newcomers**

A warm welcome to two new staff editors who joined the SGM in January, **Claudette Doe** and **Pauline Stevenson**. Claudette has a DPhil in Biochemistry and has been working as a postdoc in the Microbiology Unit at Oxford University, investigating the components and mechanisms of DNA recombination in the fission yeast, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*.

Following some years carrying out microbiological research at the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control, Pauline has worked as a production editor, first on learned journals published by the Physiological Society, and more recently on FRAAE (Fund for the Replacement of Animals in Medical Research) publications.

**Prizes**

**Lister Research Prizes 2005**

www.lister-institute.org.uk

Applications are now invited from young clinical and non-clinical scientists who wish to pursue their personal research interests in biomedical science as effectively as possible. Prizes will be allocated on the basis of independent research-based proposals. Proposals are assessed on the grounds of scientific quality and potential and are not constrained by diseases or disciplines. Each Prize Fellow will receive £150,000 to spend as they choose in support of their research over 3 years.
Infectious disease watch

**OIE papers**

www.defra.gov.uk/animalh/int-trade/euint/index.htm

Defra has announced a new page on its website which allows interested parties to view, and comment on, documents produced by the Office International des Epizooties (OIE). This organization makes an important contribution to international animal health issues.

**Training in infection**

www.trainingininfection.org.uk

This new resource for trainees in infection provides information on societies, courses, conferences, textbooks, funding and other relevant websites such as the National Electronic Library for Health (www.nelh.nhs.uk) and the National Electronic Library of Infection (www.nelii.org.uk).

**Foresight**

*Detection & Identification of Infectious Diseases*

www.foresight.gov.uk

Defra is sponsoring a new Foresight Project which aims to produce a challenging and long-term vision for the detection and identification of infectious diseases in plants, animals and humans. It will take account of evolving risk of diseases, changing user requirements for detection and identification, and cutting edge science. The project will inform policy at national and international levels. You can register an interest on the website.

**Fife superlab**

A new £6 million research laboratory, the Scottish Structural Proteomics Facility, based at St Andrews University, opened in December. Teams will work on computer-based drug design while others screen thousands of compounds as the starting point for drug discovery. The dual approach is unique in the UK and hopefully will lead to faster development of cures for dangerous infectious diseases.

**Healthcare risk management**

The Centre for Hazard and Risk Management at Loughborough University is offering a postgraduate certificate/diploma/MA course on this subject starting in March 2005. It is aimed at senior managers in healthcare units. For information contact Joyce Bostock (j.g.bostock@lboro.ac.uk).

**Mycoplasmology**


For information contact j.snook@vla.defra.gsi.gov.uk

Recent developments in open access for journal articles

The House of Commons Science and Technology Select Committee published the report of its inquiry into Scientific Publications in July 2004. This supported the idea that authors should make their articles freely available online on their institutional repositories (something that SGM and many other publishers already allow), and that the government should make funding available for construction of such repositories. The Committee did not come out at this stage in favour of government support of open access, author-pays journals, but suggested that funds be made available for further experimentation with the model. They recognized the challenges to the traditional subscription model, and noted that learned societies used their surpluses from journal publication for general benefits such as support of students, subsidized conferences and educational activities.

In response, the government said it was unwilling to interfere with the operation of the market by subsidizing one particular business model. In this, the Committee felt that the government had been heavily influenced by the Department of Trade and Industry, on behalf of the commercial publishing industry.

Meanwhile across the Atlantic, the National Institutes of Health created quite a stir, by demanding that in future, articles describing NIH-funded research should be deposited on PubMedCentral within 6 months of publication, and be made freely accessible. Similar proposals emanated from the Wellcome Trust here. These moves elicited a range of responses from publishers: many learned societies felt that they had not been fully thought through, and risked causing collateral damage to society activities and the integrity of the publishing process through the law of unintended consequences. However, NIH and Wellcome are powerful bodies: NIH is acknowledged as a funder on about 10% of articles published in SGM journals, and Wellcome on 4%. Both bodies are prepared to make funds available towards the costs of early open publication; whether these would be sufficient to defray any loss of subscription income remains to be seen. There will have to be lots more discussions in various fora, right up to Capitol Hill, before the final shape of things becomes clear.

SGM Publications Committee and the Editorial Boards will continue to monitor developments and consider how best the Society’s journals should respond.

Ron Fraser, Executive Secretary
This issue of Microbiology Today focuses on microbial communities. Scientists are only just beginning to unravel the complexities of the interactions between micro-organisms living together. Hilary Lappin-Scott and Sarah Burton explore some of the latest research in this fascinating area.

Traditional microbiological research has focused on simple systems, often monocultures, as these offered the most suitable means of characterizing and identifying micro-organisms. In nature microbes do not live in isolation; they live in communities where their activities and impact on their environment, such as the soil, gut flora and dental plaque, remain to be fully elucidated. During the pre-molecular era, research into the complexities of natural microbial communities, including genetic diversity and gene expression, was hindered by the lack of suitable techniques. With the advent of the modern molecular toolbox the intricacies of community interactions and dynamics are becoming apparent.

For example genetic composition and environmental pressures play important roles. Moreover, some of the consequences of this communal style of existence may have a profound impact on cell functions (e.g. population density dependent communication, also known as quorum sensing).

Biofilms
The impact of the physical nature of the surrounding environment on microbial communities has been highlighted by the study of biofilms – cells associated with surfaces. Adherence has been found to have a gross effect on cellular survival, growth and removal from these surfaces, which has important implications for healthcare (e.g. control of infections), industry (e.g. control of pipeline fouling/corrosion) and natural microbial ecosystems.

Genomics
Until recently there was a great investment of resources in species delimitation, characterization and phylogenetics. Current developments in bacterial genomics have resulted in
an enormous growth in DNA sequence analyses and accompanying evolution of database management. This explosion of information has allowed a greater appreciation of the diversity of microbes within commonly encountered natural communities (e.g. soil). Research methods are no longer dependent on the traditional culture of these microbes and the bias that is inherent within this. Many organisms that cannot be cultured in the lab are being revealed.

Community genome function
In comparison there has been limited progress in our understanding of the spatial distribution within communities. One of the major challenges which remains is to understand real-time genome functions of whole communities. Advances in technology, for example the introduction of fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) and developments in proteomics, have enhanced research capabilities and allowed the development of analysis of whole-community genome expression. This invites research into natural community functions, encompassing all their complexities, to give a more realistic understanding of in situ environmental community function.

Identification of functional portions within microbial communities
Nascent molecular methods are now used for the detection and identification of the organisms within microbial communities with particular activities (e.g. biodegradation of environmental pollutants). This approach employs the use of stable isotope probing (SIP). Specific isotopic (heavy) substrates are made available to the microbial community and the fate of the substrate isotopic constituents tracked via incorporation into the nucleic acids by de novo synthesis during replication. Ultracentrifugation is used to achieve separation of the heavy and light fractions of DNA. Subsequent sequencing of the 'heavy'

DNA allows the identification of the functional organisms. This method has already proved valuable for determining the active community constituents in soils and freshwater sediments.

The molecular toolbox is now expanding and will aid the development of a holistic approach to understanding the complexities of microbial communities from genome to whole-community function.

Hilary Lappin-Scott
SGM Scientific Meetings Officer and former Convener of the Environmental Microbiology Group, Department of Biological Sciences, Hatherly Laboratories, University of Exeter, Prince of Wales Road, Exeter EX4 4PS, UK (01392 264674; e.h.m.lappin-scott@exeter.ac.uk)

Sarah Burton
Senior Research Fellow in the Environmental Microbiology and Ecology Research Group at Exeter University (address as above)
Every time we clean our teeth, the oral biofilm is disrupted and has to reform in a few hours.

Paul Kolenbrander and his colleagues believe that molecular signalling between the bacteria in plaque is crucial to the success of this process.
Let it flow but don’t let go: saliva and colonization

After our teeth are cleaned, bacteria reappear on the tooth enamel in the form of mixed-species communities, which are again disrupted when we next floss and brush. This article focuses on biofilm formation during the interval between typical personal oral hygiene procedures, roughly 8–12 hours. Saliva bathes the tooth surfaces carrying bacteria to and from the enamel. To be successful in the first step of colonization of the tooth, bacteria must possess constitutively expressed cell-surface-associated polymers whose function is to recognize and bind structurally complementary molecules in a pellicle formed by saliva on the enamel surface. Human oral bacteria also possess cell-surface-associated polymers that mediate cell-cell binding between genetically distinct cells. This cell-cell interaction is called coaggregation. Oral bacteria display a propensity to coaggregate and each strain coaggregates with a specific set of partner strains. Consequently, the potential exists for a network of coaggregation-mediated multi-species communities. Initial adherence of bacteria to the saliva-coated enamel substratum, coaggregation and cellular growth are considered the three primary mechanisms integral to bacterial colonization of a clean enamel surface.

Colonization of enamel

Four hours after cleaning, enamel surfaces in the mouth will harbour a variety of mixed-species communities, most of which contain between two and ten cells. Such communities formed on a retrievable, initially sterile enamel piece carried in the mouth of a volunteer can be visualized using fluorescently labelled antibodies reactive with specific bacterial surface molecules or by using fluorescent dyes that stain all bacteria on the enamel. One particularly useful antibody (generously supplied by J. Cisar) reacts with several types of streptococcal receptor polysaccharides, which in turn are recognized by a complementary protein adhesin borne on type-2 fimbriae of certain actinomyces. Streptococcal receptor polysaccharides are also recognized by Veillonella spp., and veillonellae form a natural metabolic food chain with streptococci: the streptococci ferment carbohydrates to lactic acid and the veillonellae ferment lactic acid to other end-products. Veillonellae are unable to obtain energy from carbohydrates directly, and they establish mixed-species communities with streptococci on the enamel (Fig. 1). Streptococci containing any of three of the six known receptor polysaccharides are visible throughout the specimen area, and often veillonellae are seen near these bacteria. The cells that react with the antibody against streptococcal receptor polysaccharide could represent several species including Streptococcus gordonii, Streptococcus oralis, Streptococcus mitis and Streptococcus sanguinis, each of which have strains that produce coaggregation-mediating receptor polysaccharides. Veillonella and Actinomyces are only two of many genera that recognize the streptococcal receptor polysaccharides; other genera include Haemophilus, Eikenella, Prevotella, Capnocytophaga, and certain other Streptococcus spp. Members of these genera compete for coaggregation sites on streptococci bearing these receptors. The success of these genera during initial colonization of the tooth surfaces might be determined by their competitive attachment to the most successful early colonizers, the streptococci.
Salivary flow vs bacterial colonization

The colonization and organization of bacterial communities on enamel are not random processes. When developing a model of oral microbial communities, one consideration in choosing the species for study is their temporal appearance on enamel following oral hygiene procedures. We chose to focus on initial colonizers and included *Streptococcus gordonii*, *Actinomyces naeslundii* and *Veillonella atypica* as well as a fourth organism, *Fusobacterium nucleatum*, which is one of the most numerous bacterial species in dental plaque. These four species interact physically and metabolically with other members of the consortium. Examples include *S. gordonii* coaggregating with *A. naeslundii* as well as *V. atypica* signalling *S. gordonii* to modulate lactic acid production. *F. nucleatum* and *S. gordonii* possess LuxS, which is the enzyme that catalyses the production of 4,5-dihydroxy-2,3-pentanedione, a precursor of the multi-species signal autoinducer-2. Other observations also support the critical role of juxtaposition of interacting cells and bacterial communication in proliferation of bacteria on a saliva-conditioned surface. For example, when inoculated individually with saliva as the sole nutritional source, each of the four species bound to saliva-coated glass in a flowcell, but only *S. gordonii* grew as a biofilm. However, all four species persisted in the flowcell when they were inoculated together. Interestingly, when the four species were first coaggregated before inoculation into the flowcell, both *V. atypica* and *A. naeslundii* showed enhanced growth not visible when the four organisms were inoculated sequentially, suggesting that juxtaposition may be a key element in successful colonization.

In the mouth, where saliva secretion creates a flowing environment, juxtaposition of bacteria would be critical for interspecies communication mediated by small-molecule signals. Based on the observations that a number of bacteria, including human oral bacteria, produce a molecule that induces auto-
inducer-2-dependent bioluminescence in *Vibrio harveyi*, B. Bassler proposed that autoinducer-2 mediates interspecies bacterial communication. Although little is known about signalling across species barriers that does not involve *V. harveyi*, the broader concept of interspecies signalling among oral bacteria is amenable to study.

**Diffusible signals**

The initial colonizers of the tooth surface are an excellent group for the study of interspecies signals because their spatio-temporal colonization is not random, and these mixed-species communities must reform every 8-12 h (the cycle between brushings). Streptococci are the predominant bacteria in initial dental plaque with actinomycetes and veillonellae also contributing. We hypothesize that these organisms and others communicate by molecular signals.

The *S. gordonii* and *V. atypica* pair is interesting because of the previously described metabolic interaction. We postulated that veillonellae might signal streptococci to produce more lactic acid. Considering that these bacteria live in a flowing environment, it is advantageous that they coaggregate and are therefore in close contact. Juxtaposition would facilitate exchange of lactic acid in the same way that it also facilitates transmission and reception of molecular signals. To monitor the expression of an amylase gene that could participate in the conversion of glucose polymers to glucose and lactic acid, a reporter plasmid containing *gfp* (encoding green fluorescent protein, GFP) under control of the streptococcal amylase gene promoter was introduced into *S. gordonii*. Within 4 h of exposure to veillonellae the streptococci produced GFP. GFP expression was not seen in the absence of veillonellae. When cells were placed in a flowing environment, direct contact between streptococcal and veillonellae cells was required for amylase promoter activity (Fig. 2). However, when the two species were separated by a dialysis membrane in a closed vessel, the signal diffused through the membrane and induced GFP production in all streptococci.

It is important to consider the flowing environment when investigating the role of molecular signals in the natural ecosystem of the oral cavity. To attain the necessary concentration to induce a response, a diffusible signal might only be effective when the producing and receiving species are in juxtaposition. Signals exchanged within and among initial oral bacterial communities may be coordinated to optimize beneficial interactions, and thus biofilm development, over the very short time period between brushings. Understanding the interactions among initial colonizing bacteria might allow us to predict and control the nature of biofilms at later stages of plaque development.

Paul E. Kolenbrander, Robert J. Palmer Jr, Alexander H. Rickard, Nicholas S. Jakubovics and Patricia I. Diaz
National Institutes of Health, Building 30, Room 310, 30 Convent Drive MSC4350, Bethesda, MD 20892-4350, USA
(t +1 301 4961497; f +1 301 402 0396; e pkolenbrande@dir.nidcr.nih.gov)

**Further reading**


Eavesdropping on bacterial conversations

One of the surprising discoveries in recent years has been that many bacteria have sophisticated systems of communication. Small molecules that co-ordinate gene expression are released into the environment and enter other bacteria in the vicinity. This cell-to-cell signalling is usually referred to as quorum sensing – to indicate that it is a density-dependent process that allows concerted activity of a population. Our interest developed from a study of biofouling of surfaces in the sea. We found that it is impossible to understand the attachment of large biofouling plants and animals without considering the bacteria that grow on those same surfaces. From those initial questions, we have discovered a complex story of how a seaweed utilizes quorum sensing molecules to select a suitable surface for attachment and growth. In other words, the seaweed appears to eavesdrop on the cell-to-cell communication of bacteria by exploiting quorum sensing molecules.

Marine biofouling

The growth of animals and plants on structures in the ocean is a billion dollar a year problem. Biofouling organisms increase the roughness of surfaces and so increase drag; ships consume more fuel to maintain speed and large structures such as oil platforms have to be engineered to account for the increased stresses imposed by biofouling organisms. A great deal is known about the biology of large biofouling organisms and how they are dispersed, but there has been relatively little research to link these animals and plants to the microbiology of the surfaces. So far, treatments to prevent biofouling have relied on toxic chemicals, but the most effective substances are no longer acceptable because of detrimental impacts on the environment.

Our work has focussed on the green seaweed Ulva, which is a common biofouling organism and a prominent seaweed on the shore throughout the world (Fig. 1). Ulva reproduces by releasing motile zoospores that swim away from the parent, attach to a surface and develop into a new plant. The numbers of zoospores released are truly immense and thousands swim away from the tip of a mature plant each day. Look in a rock pool in summer, on a shore where Ulva grows, and it will be bright green with the zoospores that the seaweed has released.

What is quorum sensing?

The best understood system is that of Gram-negative bacteria and involves N-acylhomoserine lactones (AHL) as signal molecules. By moderating gene expression in the whole population in response to cell density, microbial population behaviour can be considered to be analogous to that of a multicellular organism. Quorum sensing systems are very widespread and modulate many physiological processes in bacteria that are associated with humans, plants, animals, soils and marine and fresh waters; it is increasingly seen as...
Bacteria can communicate with each other by means of chemical signals. Ian Joint and Karen Tait discuss new research on biofouling seaweeds showing that they are listening in and using the information for their own ends.

The evidence for eavesdropping on bacteria

Our initial observation was that more Ulva zoospores settled on surfaces colonized by bacteria than on clean surfaces. Also, the numbers of attached zoospores increased with the size of the bacterial population. Moreover, we proved statistically that this was not a random process and that zoospores attached directly to bacterial cells (Fig. 2). This suggested a specific selection mechanism — but what cues might the zoospores be using? It is known that settlement is enhanced by many factors, such as surface roughness and extracellular polymeric substances (EPS), but the response appeared to be more specific and was a property of certain types of bacterial cells. Quorum sensing molecules were an obvious candidate mechanism but they had not previously been shown to be important for eukaryotic cells. In the event, we were able to prove unequivocally that AHLs are exploited by zoospores in the selection of sites for attachment.

The evidence was based on four types of experiments. Using biofilms of the marine bacterium Vibrio anguillarum, we showed that the wild-type attracted zoospores, but mutants that were defective in AHL production did not attract. Zoospore settlement assays were also carried out using Escherichia coli expressing recombinant AHL synthase genes; again, settlement was only enhanced when AHLs were produced. Synthetic AHLs were also found to attract zoospores. Finally, the attraction was inactivated when V. anguillarum expressed a recombinant gene from Bacillus that inactivates AHL molecules. Clearly, there is little doubt that Ulva zoospores exploit AHLs when they select a surface for attachment.

However, zoospores are not attracted to all bacteria and we have a number of isolates that inhibit attachment. The

The growth of animals and plants on structures in the ocean is a billion dollar a year problem
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production of AHLs is also not constant during the growth phase of a bacterial biofilm and the relationship between quorum sensing, biofilm age and composition has proved to be very complex. But what benefit might a seaweed get from attaching to certain bacterial species? The answer is not yet clear. It is known that bacteria are essential for the normal development of seaweeds; that is, certain bacteria have to be present for normal morphology. However, there does not appear to be a close correlation between the species of bacteria that attract zoospores and those that are essential for normal morphological development. This interaction is complicated and is the subject of other research.

The wider significance of quorum sensing molecules in community ecology

Although in the context of higher organisms, bacteria are usually thought of only in terms of disease, beneficial interactions also occur. Symbiosis is widespread and is involved in processes as diverse as nitrogen fixation in the rhizosphere and light generation in deep-sea fishes. Quorum sensing is essential for maintaining these interactions between host and bacteria. The case of Ulva eavesdropping on bacterial communication is a novel example of cell-to-cell communication. We do not know if this phenomenon of communication across the prokaryote-eukaryote boundary is widespread. We suspect it might be common and it will be interesting to discover how many organisms have evolved mechanisms to exploit bacterial communication for their own benefit.

Further reading


Sugar-coated bacteria: wolves in sheep’s clothing?

Many protozoa can get through the sugary matrix of a biofilm and feed on the bacteria inside. What seems like a sticky end may in fact be a means for some bacteria to exploit the grazing organisms for their own benefit, as Jackie Parry explains.
Food, food everywhere but not a morsel to eat. This is the common perception of protozoan participation, or the lack of it, in biofilm dynamics. The reason for this is that when bacteria attach to surfaces they notoriously coat themselves in a sugary gelatinous matrix, which has always been considered impenetrable to grazers. But many protozoa can break through the matrix and consume the prey within. But is the grazing of these sticky, sweet bacteria always good for protozoa or does the sugar-coating conceal hidden dangers?

What are protozoa?
Protozoa are the most beautiful microbial creatures on earth, but I am biased. After spending 3 years of a PhD counting actinomycete colonies and phage plaques on agar plates, I often think I was just easily pleased when I saw my first protozoan under the microscope. But 14 years later I am still as excited to see them as I was on that first day. The literal meaning of protozoa is ‘first animals’ and although some of these single-celled eukaryotes are totally photosynthetic (algae), most of them are heterotrophic, i.e. they consume particulate prey, especially bacteria. Their role in water (plankton) as the major predators of bacteria is undisputed and their ability to excrete waste products such as ammonium and orthophosphate is known to feed bacteria in nutrient-poor environments. Whether protozoa perform a similar role in surface-associated communities (biofilms) remains to be seen.

Protozoa are normally between 5 and 200 μm in size and exhibit a variety of mechanisms to capture their prey. This has led to a considerable diversification of protozoan morphologies, but for simplicity’s sake, they can be divided into three groups: flagellates, ciliates and amoebae.

Flagellates and ciliates
The flagellates possess one or more flagella which are used for swimming and the creation of feeding currents. Water, containing prey, is drawn towards the base of the flagellum where they are ingested via cytoplasmic extensions known as pseudopodia. The prey is then deposited into food vacuoles. Some flagellates have a collar of tentacles at the base of the flagellum which only allows the smallest of prey particles to pass through. Many flagellates attach to surfaces by means of a stalk, allowing more effective use of their flagellum/flagella to produce larger feeding currents than if swimming. Flagellates, due to their small size (5–20 μm), are considered the dominant predators of
If a bacterium can develop a mechanism to avoid digestion in the wild, it should also be able to avoid digestion in the immune system.

Amoebae
Amoebae cannot feed effectively on suspended prey, but are known to feed on attached bacteria. The naked amoebae move by projecting pseudopodia and crawling, and are more associated with surfaces than free-floating in the plankton. They envelop their prey within pseudopodia before enclosing them in a food vacuole. Some amoebae are housed in a shell (testate amoebae) and protrude sticky extrusorial cytoplasm which trap prey before bringing them to the main body of the cell where they are ingested. Amoebae are not fast-moving and do not disrupt the biofilm to anything like the extent of flagellates and ciliates. Many workers have confused amoebae with multicellular rotifers, which possess trophy and can nibble/grasp biofilm stacks. But the amoebae cannot do this and appear to just sit on top of the biofilm as it grows and patiently ingest the sugar-coated prey beneath. Amoebic grazing rates on attached bacteria, either as naked or alginate (sugar)-coated cells, range from 0.2 to 1465 bacteria per amoeba per hour, which are very significant.

So, in essence, all protozoa have the ability to consume sugar-coated bacteria – either directly from the surface or via a ‘slough and feed’ mechanism. The question now arises as to whether these predators are influenced by the composition of the sugar-coating or can they detect the prey inside, possibly via cell–cell signalling mechanisms? Studies regarding the effect of prey ‘smell’, and subsequent ‘taste’, on protozoan ingestion are non-existent but exciting work at the Plymouth Marine Laboratory shows that different bacterial strains can signal (‘talk’) to the eukaryotic zoospores of Ulva, as described on p. 14; either saying ‘come and settle next to me’ or ‘go away and don’t settle next to me’. It would be interesting to see if bacteria could also ‘talk’ to the eukaryotic protozoa in a similar way. Your first thought might be that they should all say ‘go away and don’t eat me’, but some might want a
protozoan close by, particularly if they have an ulterior motive.

The potential wolves
Certain pathogenic bacteria are known to avoid the digestive mechanisms of protozoa either by escaping from the food vacuole and replicating in the protozoan cytosol, e.g. *Listeria*, or by blocking the digestive processes and multiplying within the food vacuole itself, e.g. *Legionella*. Other bacteria appear to resist the complete digestive cycle and are excreted as ‘super-tough’ forms, e.g. some coliforms. Either way, being inside a protozoan cell provides a positive aspect to the life of these bacteria by protecting them from the harsh environment outside. In addition, protozoa might have played an important role in the evolution of these pathogens in that, if a bacterium can develop a mechanism to avoid protozoan digestion in the wild, it should also be able to avoid digestion in the macrophages of the immune system. The protozoan–pathogen relationship does appear to be one-sided though, and the protozoa gain nothing, they just waste energy on processing a non-digestible prey or are lysed when bacterial progeny are released from their cell. If cell–cell signalling does occur between bacteria and protozoa then surely they would be able to detect a non-profitable prey, avoid it and feed only on profitable (digestible) prey – unless the prey was not what it seemed. Pathogen densities are notoriously higher in biofilms than in the surrounding water and maybe by being part of this mixed bacterial community, with all its many signals, the protozoa are tricked into thinking they are palatable. Thus sugar-coating themselves may indeed provide these pathogens with a route to the safe haven they desire.
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Urinary catheters: ideal sites for the development of biofilm communities

Biofilms containing pathogenic bacteria soon develop in urinary catheters, leading to bladder and kidney infections. David Stickler describes how studies on the unique nature of these microbial communities should help to provide solutions to a very distressing problem.
residents, whereas others such as E. coli, Providencia stuartii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Proteus mirabilis, Morganella morganii and Klebsiella pneumoniae generally are stable residents.

**How do the biofilms form?**

While the catheter is in place the infections are notoriously difficult to eradicate with antibiotics. It is normal practice to resort to treatment only when there is evidence that the infection has reached the kidneys or the bloodstream. In patients undergoing long-term bladder management, a common regime is to change catheters at 10- to 12-week intervals. So we have a situation in which infected urine flows through catheters for periods of up to 3 months at a time.

Catheters are manufactured from silicone or from latex coated in either silicone or hydrogel. These materials provide attractive, unprotected sites for bacterial attachment. In addition the irregular surfaces left by the manufacturing process, particularly around the eye-holes, can trap cells as the infected urine flows through the device (Fig. 1). Attached to a surface bathed in a constant gentle flow of a nutrient-rich medium, the bacterial populations thrive. Within days extensive biofilms develop, particularly on the luminal surfaces of the catheter. Towards the end of the device's lifetime, the biofilms can comprise thick layers up to 500 cells deep, embedded in their exopolymer matrix (Fig. 2). These bacterial communities have all the properties conferred by the biofilm mode of growth and the resistance of the cells frustrates attempts to treat infections.

**Crystalline biofilms**

The biofilms produced by *Pr. mirabilis* pose particular threats to the health of catheterized patients. The urease activity...
of this organism generates ammonia and creates alkaline conditions under which calcium and magnesium phosphates crystallize in the urine and the biofilm. These unique crystalline biofilms develop rapidly and can completely block the flow of urine from the bladder (Figs 3 & 4). If this situation is not spotted and the catheter changed, it can have disastrous consequences for the patient, leading for example to urinary retention, painful distension of the bladder and reflux of infected urine to the kidneys, which triggers pyelonephritis and sepsis. Many long-term patients suffer this complication. All currently available types of catheter are vulnerable to encrustation and there is no effective way of controlling the problem — hence our interest in trying to devise novel strategies to deal with it. A clinical colleague tells a story of a patient who was so frustrated with his catheter blocking recurrently that he tried (while the catheter was still in place) blowing through it to clear the obstruction. When this failed he attached a bicycle pump to the end to get enough pressure to do the job!

Catheter biofilms and the universal model of biofilm structure

A great deal of work has been done on Ps. aeruginosa biofilms growing on surfaces under oligotrophic conditions. Optical sectioning of these biofilms by scanning confocal laser microscopy has revealed the complex nature of the biofilm communities. They are not composed of homogeneous layers of cells, but have a sophisticated structure which develops from a basal layer of cells. These studies have led to a consensus view of mature, natural biofilms as highly organized, structured communities. The bacteria embedded in their gel-like matrix form microcolonies which grow up from the surface into lower or mushroom-shaped structures. Open water-filled channels permeate the structure, presumably acting as primitive circulatory systems, transporting nutrients to and waste products from the bacterial communities. These concepts have led many researchers to investigate the regulatory mechanisms that control the development of these complex structures.

Electron micrograph images of mature biofilms on long-term urinary catheters are hard to reconcile with the consensus model of biofilm architecture
The electron micrograph images we have seen of mature biofilms on long-term urinary catheters are hard to reconcile with this consensus model of biofilm architecture. While the preparation of samples for scanning electron microscopy is notorious for distorting structures, it is hard to imagine how sample preparation could transform mushroom-shaped structures surrounded by open channels into the blocks of biomass visible in Fig. 2. The catheter biofilms are clearly permeated by channels, but they have a sponge-like structure. The crystalline biofilms generated by *P. mirabilis* in urine afford a special opportunity to study biofilm architecture by scanning electron microscopy. As the pH of the biofilm becomes alkaline, calcium phosphates are laid down around the cells and create what we have termed a 'plaster-cast' of the biofilm. These solid structures are not so vulnerable to distortion by sample preparation. The electron micrographs suggest a layer reminiscent of a coral reef, with the calcified structure permeated with urine-filled pores (Fig. 5).

**Ecology of the biofilms**

Apart from the pressing clinical need to solve the problem of catheter encrustation, these crystalline biofilms pose some challenging issues in community microbiology. Most are mixed communities, commonly of three or four species. The close proximity and high population density of several species within a gel-matrix provides ideal conditions for processes such as physiological cooperation, exchange of genetic material and cell-to-cell communication. We have wondered whether the microbial composition of these biofilms comes about by chance or is predetermined by interactions between species? Is coaggregation between species involved? Are there complementary associations of species which produce particularly stable, well-developed biofilm? In the case of biofilms containing *P. mirabilis* does the presence of other species modulate the extent and rate of crystal formation?

Currently we are attempting an ecological approach to the study of the mixed community biofilms containing *P. mirabilis*. The biofilm flora is being examined to look for significant associations between *P. mirabilis* and other species. It would be intriguing if some species were typically not found in the mixed *P. mirabilis* biofilm or only found in biofilms where crystal formation was minimal. Any apparent antagonisms between *P. mirabilis* and these other organisms, or modulation of the encrustation process, could then be examined experimentally in laboratory models. If bacterial factors could be identified which inhibit crystalline biofilm formation, a possible biological interference strategy might be feasible to control a complication which undermines the health, confidence and quality of life for so many elderly and disabled people.

---

**Further reading**


---
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Apart from SGM meetings, where do the researchers that study microbial communities on surfaces network? As Mat Upton describes, the Biofilm Club provides this particular microbiological community with an ideal forum.

The Biofilm Club

Over the past 13 years, the Biofilm Club has been a focal point for academic and industrial scientists, both in the UK and overseas, with research interests related to the study of micro-organisms (bacteria, fungi and even protozoa) living in the communities we know as biofilms. The Club was formed in 1992 as the British Biofilm Club when it was realized that there was no common forum in the UK for discussing biofilm research. This was extremely timely as the subject was, and indeed still is, moving very rapidly and impinging upon many fields of fundamental and applied microbiology.

The inaugural 3-day residential meeting was so successful that it was later decided to expand and include biofilm news and interests from an international perspective, and re-convene more appropriately as the Biofilm Club, thereby reflecting our international status. To this day the Biofilm Club continues to go from strength to strength.

There is an elected committee who work to promote the Club and activities of its members. The primary aim of the Club is to further the communication and discussion of research findings and ideas relating to the attachment of micro-organisms to surfaces, their subsequent development into biofilms and the science relating to the biology, control and exploitation of biofilms. Membership applications are open to anyone with relevant research interests and are encouraged from group leaders as well as their postgraduate students.

A regular newsletter publicizes news and upcoming events. To enable members to meet on a regular basis, the Club holds two workshops a year, usually in association with industrial partners or related societies. Topics are chosen to reflect some of the more specialized interests within the membership and recent subjects have included 'Biofilm modelling' and 'Aspects of infection control'. Abstracts are now published in Biofilms, the official journal of the Club.

Every other year sees a pilgrimage to Gregynog in mid-Wales for a larger, more general residential meeting. The Gregynog conferences are well known for having a relaxed, sociable atmosphere and are an ideal forum for postgraduate students to present findings of current research. In September 2003, approximately 200 members were registered, representing some 20 different countries. Proceedings from the Gregynog meetings are published in dedicated editions of the BBC series of books, a renowned and much acclaimed publication in the field. Sessions during the 2-day meetings include plenary lectures and offered papers. As a result, the BBC books reflect the current 'hot topics' in biofilm research.

The website (www.biofilmclub.co.uk) acts as a portal for communication between members, with news of events and forthcoming meetings, and details of active research groups. There have been recent efforts to promote the Club outside the UK and Europe by inviting overseas members to contribute to the information held on the site. That said, we always welcome interest and interaction from researchers closer to home! For more information, please contact the Biofilm Club via Mathew Upton or visit the website.

Mathew Upton
Lecturer in medical microbiology and member of the Biofilm Club Committee, School of Medicine, Clinical Sciences Building, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9WL, UK (t 0161 276 8828, e m.upton@manchester.ac.uk)

A confocal section through a subgingival oral biofilm stack. Live organisms (purple) can be seen on the outside of the stack and dead ones (yellow) inside. Jon Pratten and Chris Hope, Eastman Dental Institute
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Microbial ecology of activated sludge
The activated sludge process relies on a complex microbial community to clean up wastewaters and thus protect our environment. Michael Wagner explains how new molecular tools are shedding light on this ecosystem and how the information can be used to improve the treatment process.

The activated sludge process was invented about a century ago and has found worldwide application in the treatment of wastewater before it is discharged into the environment. Modern wastewater treatment plants use this process not only to remove organic matter from the sewage, but also to minimize the concentrations of nitrogen and phosphorus compounds which stimulate eutrophication in lakes, rivers and the ocean. It has long been known that activated sludge consists mainly of bacteria (in concentrations of up to 10^10 cells ml^-1) which catalyse all the important steps of nutrient removal, but are also responsible for the major problems in these plants. Nevertheless, activated sludge research has been dominated for decades by engineers and until quite recently little was known about which micro-organisms were important in these man-made systems and how changes in environmental parameters or process design affect the structure and function of the microbial community. This situation has changed dramatically during the last few years thanks to exciting technical advances in microbial ecology. Using cutting-edge molecular tools, many key players in microbial activated sludge have been identified and partially characterized, and we have begun to understand the laws of biology which underpin this process. In parallel, microbial ecologists have discovered that activated sludge is an ideal model system for developing new methodology and for evaluating hypotheses relating to the ecology of micro-organisms.

Discovery of microbial key players
In developing a detailed theoretical framework for activated sludge microbiology, it is important to find out about the identity and ecophysiology of the micro-organisms responsible for key processes or major problems in wastewater treatment plants. Only if we can measure the abundance of desirable or undesirable populations will we be able to decipher (and later exploit) conditions that might selectively promote their success or failure, and thus rationally influence community assembly. Traditional cultivation methods are inadequate for identifying the key players, since only 10-15% of the activated sludge micro-organisms form colonies on standard nutrient agar plates. This unintentional selectivity has led to an incomplete and sometimes even erroneous perception of which bacterial species catalyse such important steps as nitrification, denitrification and enhanced biological phosphorus removal, or what the causative agents for activated sludge bulking and foaming are. For example, *Nitrobacter* spp. and *Acinetobacter* spp. were considered for decades to be responsible for nitrite oxidation and enhanced biological phosphorus removal, or what the causative agents for activated sludge bulking and foaming are. For example, *Nitrobacter* spp. and *Acinetobacter* spp. were considered for decades to be responsible for nitrite oxidation and enhanced biological phosphorus removal, or what the causative agents for activated sludge bulking and foaming are. For example, *Nitrobacter* spp. and *Acinetobacter* spp. were considered for decades to be responsible for nitrite oxidation and enhanced biological phosphorus removal, or what the causative agents for activated sludge bulking and foaming are. For example, *Nitrobacter* spp. and *Acinetobacter* spp. were considered for decades to be responsible for nitrite oxidation and enhanced biological phosphorus removal, or what the causative agents for activated sludge bulking and foaming are.
Using cultivation-independent, 16S rRNA-based censuses of microbial activated sludge communities we have learnt that a single reactor may harbour several hundred different bacterial species. This diversity can be easily traced by DNA microarrays which, for example, be used in the future to monitor community dynamics in activated sludge tanks or the biogeography of activated sludge bacteria. The abundance of selected populations in activated sludge can be precisely measured by quantitative fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) using rRNA-targeted oligonucleotide probes. In 1994 and 1995, by using this approach, we demonstrated that neither Nitrobacter nor Acinetobacter were of major importance for N or P removal in most wastewater treatment plants. While perfectly suited to the analysis of the microbial community structure, FISH results alone are insufficient for understanding the ecophysiology of activated sludge bacteria. This limitation can be overcome in microcosm experiments which offer the activated sludge community isotope-labelled substrates under defined conditions. Today, a whole battery of molecular tools is available which allows microbial ecologists to identify which bacteria metabolize the added substrates and so assign specific functions to populations.

This toolbox was used to identify the actual key players in nitrification, denitrification and enhanced biological phosphorus removal, most of which are still uncultured. For example, Stefan Juretschko, a former PhD student in my group, discovered in 1998 that Nitrospira-related nitrite-oxidizers and not Nitrobacter were the numerically dominant nitrite oxidizers in most nitrifying activated sludge systems. Andreas Schramm and colleagues (now at the University of Aarhus, Denmark) later raised the hypothesis that these nitrospiras are K-strategists for nitrite and oxygen and thus outcompete the putative r-strategist Nitrobacter in reactors with low nitrite availability. Recent data from my and other labs support this theory. Furthermore, it is now apparent that these novel nitrite oxidizers can grow mixotrophically in activated sludge, that different Nitrospira populations co-occur in nitrifying sludges (Fig. 1) and that these peculiar nitrite oxidizers are also of importance in many other systems ranging from agricultural soil to marine sponges.

Almost at the same time, Alexander Zehnder's group at the EWAG (Dübendorf, Switzerland) reported on the enrichment of uncultured betaproteobacteria tentatively named Candidatus 'Accumulibacter phosphatis' which are responsible for enhanced biological phosphorus removal in wastewater treatment plants. In addition, several novel denitrifiers have also been identified in activated sludge. It has become clear that the morphology-based identification key in widespread use for filamentous activated sludge bacteria dramatically underestimates their actual diversity by lumping together genetically very different organisms which share the same morphology.

Recent technological advances have encouraged several research groups to attempt to determine the whole-genome sequences of important but uncultivated activated sludge bacteria. Together with the French national sequencing institute (Genoscope) and collaborators from the Netherlands and Germany we are currently analysing the sequences of anaerobic ammonium oxidizers and Nitrospira-like nitrite oxidizers. Furthermore, the Joint Genome Institute has launched a
The project to decipher the genome of *Candidatus Accumulibacter phosphatis*. These genome sequences will significantly speed up the process of understanding these recently discovered micro-organisms, which serve us in ameliorating anthropogenic damage to the environment.

**Ecology and engineers**

Some engineers tend to undervalue progress in activated sludge microbial ecology by stating that these new findings simply lead to a change in the names of bacteria responsible for certain processes in wastewater treatment plants, with no relevance to their functions. My response is that these different names represent genetically very different organisms which have diverged early in evolutionary history and thus are most likely to have very different physiological properties. For example, in an rRNA tree Nitrospira is as 'closely' related to Nitrobacter as humans are to sunflowers (Fig. 2). Now that we have identified the microbial key players in many important processes and problems in activated sludge, and have tools at hand to measure their abundance and activities, we can begin to design experiments to show how operating conditions in a treatment plant affect these parameters. A central follow-up question is how the diversity of functionally important micro-organisms affects the stability of the respective processes (Fig. 1). For example, it is tempting to speculate that a greater diversity of nitrifying bacteria increases the resistance of the nitrification process in a wastewater treatment plant against certain perturbations. Thus, engineers should be interested in monitoring the diversity of important bacterial groups in their treatment plants and ultimately learn how they can influence diversity by changing the operating conditions in order to maximize process stability and performance. Such insights cannot be obtained by traditional bulk parameter measurements, but require high resolution analyses of the structure and function of activated sludge microbial communities, as well as a commitment of researchers and funding agencies to this interdisciplinary research.

**Further reading**


Quorum sensing

This issue of Microbiology Today focuses on microbial communities. Microbiologists now know that the organisms in these amazing ecosystems communicate with each other with chemical signals. This system is dependent on population density and is called quorum sensing. Faye Jones, who carried out her PhD research project in this subject, explains how it all works.

Bacteria were long thought of as organisms working alone, but over the past 20 years, it has become apparent that they communicate with each other. Microbes naturally live in large communities, often containing hundreds of different species, so it should not be surprising that some co-ordinated teamwork is required for them to co-exist.

Metabolic activities in the cell are initiated by the expression of proteins under the control of specific genes, but how are the genes regulated?

The bacteria secrete small signalling molecules, called microbial pheromones or autoinducers. Signalling occurs through the build-up of the autoinducer in an environment. This is linked to the population density of the bacteria. Once a critical concentration is reached, the inducer is detected by a specific receptor protein in the cells (Protein R), which becomes activated and switches on or off a particular gene or set of genes. This phenomenon is used to regulate a diverse range of phenotypes.

When first discovered, quorum sensing was believed to be a unique signalling system for activating bioluminescence in the Gram-negative, marine bacterium Vibrio fischeri. In the past 10 years the number of bacteria found to be using quorum sensing to signal changes has escalated. Some characteristics now known to be regulated through quorum sensing include swimming motility in the human pathogen Yersinia pseudotuberculosis, biofilm formation in the fish pathogen Vibrio anguillarum, antibiotic production in the plant pathogen Erwinia caratovora, formation of nitrogen-fixing nodules on legumes by symbiotic Rhizobium leguminosarum, and many factors involved in virulence (lytic enzymes, toxins, etc.) from a whole range of plant, animal and human pathogens. A high percentage of the bacteria known to use quorum sensing are opportunistic pathogens. Virulence factors are not always regulated through the quorum sensing systems in these bacteria, although in many cases they are.

Vibrio fischeri

V. fischeri normally live in symbiosis with certain marine fish and squid, where the bacteria are confined within a special light organ that protects them from the environment. In return, the bacteria produce light that is used by their symbiont partner to attract prey or scare away predators. Within light organs, the bacterial population grows to a high density (ca 10^9-10^10 cells ml^-1) and luminescence is induced through accumulation of the autoinducer. When enough autoinducer is present, it can activate a response protein which in turn begins to regulate its designated gene (or set of genes).

V. fischeri can also exist free-living in the sea. Under these circumstances, they don't waste energy on producing light. This lack of light production in free-living cells is due to the autoinducer being diluted in the water as it diffuses away from single cells.

Quorum sensing is a cell-to-cell communication system that allows a single bacterium to work with its neighbours.
The autoinducer that regulates luminescence in V. fischeri is N-(3-oxo)-hexanoyl-homo-serine lactone (OHHL). It comes from the family of autoinducers called N-acyl-homo-serine lactones (AHLs). The type of side chain on these molecules gives them specificity for different quorum sensing systems. OHHL has also been discovered in cell-free supernatants of the plant pathogen Erwinia carotovora and was found to be responsible for regulation of the production of a β-lactam antibiotic. The discovery that AHLs were not restricted to the regulation of luminescence in marine bacteria has led to the production of numerous biosensors for the detection of AHLs in cell-free supernatants of a wide range of bacterial species.

### Other signals
Although AHLs are by far the most studied signalling molecules to date, other signals in Gram-negative bacteria include cyclic dipeptides and quinolones, which are found in the opportunistic human pathogen Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and 3-hydroxyomalactic acid methyl ester in Ralstonia solanacearum, which causes bacterial wilts in a wide variety of plants ranging from potatoes to banana trees.

### Other microbes
**Myxobacteria**
Myxobacteria are interesting gliding bacteria that produce fruiting bodies under starvation conditions. They are commonly found in animal dung and organic-rich soils of neutral or alkaline pH. Some of them grow by utilizing cellulose, but many of them feed by secreting antibiotics to kill other bacteria and then produce enzymes to lyse the cells of their prey. Fruiting body formation is regulated by a diffusible signal, which accumulates to a critical concentration in the environment. When nutrients become limited, some cells commit suicide, while the remaining cells move from various parts of the community to congregate at a focal point, making a mound in which spores can begin to form. This behaviour gives them a chance to survive and germinate when the conditions improve.

## Useful websites
- [www.nottingham.ac.uk/quorum/](http://www.nottingham.ac.uk/quorum/)
- [www.bio.cam.ac.uk/~salmond/research-interests/quorum-research.html](http://www.bio.cam.ac.uk/~salmond/research-interests/quorum-research.html)
- [www.medicine.uiowa.edu/greenberglab/](http://www.medicine.uiowa.edu/greenberglab/)
- [www.med.upenn.edu/micro/faculty/zhu.html](http://www.med.upenn.edu/micro/faculty/zhu.html)
- [http://helios.bto.ed.ac.uk/bto/microbes/myxococc.htm](http://helios.bto.ed.ac.uk/bto/microbes/myxococc.htm)

## Glossary
**Gram-negative bacteria** defined by thin cell wall, made up of a small layer of peptidoglycan surrounded by a thicker outer membrane.

**Gram-positive bacteria** defined by thick cell wall, mostly composed of peptidoglycan.

**Peptidoglycan** lattice structure formed from linear chains of two alternating sugar derivatives.

**Phenotype** observable characteristics of an organism/manifestation of gene expression in a particular organism.

## New resources!
### Bioluminescence
Light can be produced by a chemical reaction inside the cells of a whole range of living organisms. This two-page resource explains the basic facts about bioluminescence, with particular reference to the role of microbes, and provides examples of the amazing practical applications of this phenomenon. The leaflet is illustrated in colour. Contact [education@sgm.ac.uk](mailto:education@sgm.ac.uk)

### Your Career in Microbiology
This 16-page, full-colour booklet has been completely revised and contains new profiles of the very different career paths of six young microbiologists. Contact [careers@sgm.ac.uk](mailto:careers@sgm.ac.uk)

### E-source: Microbes & Food
Based around a 'microbial menu', this website covers various aspects of food microbiology – [www.schoolscience.co.uk](http://www.schoolscience.co.uk)
Gradline aims to inform and entertain members in the early stages of their career in microbiology. If you have any news or stories, or would like to see any topics featured, contact Jane Westwell (e.j.westwell@sgm.ac.uk)

All in a day’s work

Are you coming to the end of your degree and thinking about your next steps? Have you considered registering with a recruitment agency but were unsure whether it would be a good move? Gradline Editor, Jane Westwell, contacted a couple of the major UK recruitment agencies to get a picture of the range of job opportunities for microbiologists.

SRG and Lab Support UK are two well-known agencies that specialize in supplying contract staff to industry and also find permanent staff (usually in senior roles) for employers. Both agencies recruit microbiologists. Over the last 4 years, SRG has placed 235 microbiologists in 113 companies across the UK. In 2004, Lab Support found work for more than 50 microbiologists. Employers tend to be from the pharmaceutical, food and biotechnology sectors, including contract research and water testing organizations. Most biotechnology companies are SMEs (small to medium sized enterprises) although a few spin-outs also recruit through agencies. Despite the relatively high number of microbiologists that are recruited, it is fair to say that there are fewer opportunities for PhDs than for graduate scientists. Those that do exist are predominantly in the pharmaceutical sector. Opportunities are mainly temporary, but permanent recruiting is on the increase. Also, 30–40% of temporary posts convert to permanent positions. Whilst working on contract, scientists are employees of the agency and are entitled to the usual employment benefits.

It is rare to place a newly qualified PhD in industry unless they have the specific skills in microbiology or molecular biology that are used in this sector. Possession of ‘rare’ skills can be an advantage (agencies may list these). Apart from the obvious technical abilities, recruitment agencies are also looking for flexibility, adaptability, and good communication and team working skills. Rather helpfully, all agency websites include a few pages on CV writing and interviews to help potential candidates.

If you are thinking about a career in industry, working through an agency can be a good way to gain experience on different employers.

Further information

Most recruitment agencies have good websites and it is usually possible to register on-line.

Jobs in Science  www.scitemps.co.uk
Lab Support UK  www.labsupport.co.uk
SCI  www.sci-search.com
SRG  www.srg.co.uk
Yoh Scientific  www.yohscientific.co.uk

The ‘write’stuff

In December 2004, 18 postgraduate students from Irish institutions took part in a course in scientific writing organized by Catherine O’Reilly, Convener of the SGM Irish group. The course comprised two half-day sessions and was run by journalist and ex-geneticist Mary Mulvihill. I attended as Convener of the Education & Training Group.

The first session began with a reminder of basic principles of grammar: language and punctuation. Mary provided examples of problems arising due to incorrect grammar (such as the importance of the hyphen in the meaning of ‘extra marital sex’ as opposed to extra-marital sex!). We then wrote sentences for each item. Following this, we tackled the wordiness common to scientific writing by editing out extraneous phrases (e.g. ‘was seen to’, ‘it would appear that’) and repetitions (e.g. ‘separate entities’, ‘new beginnings’). We rearranged massive strings of nouns (‘blue absorbing
Postgraduate skills workshop

Surviving your PhD

1800–2000, 6 April 2005, Heriot-Watt University

The workshop will consider three key issues that face PhD students at different stages of their training: handling the supervisor/student relationship, effective writing and defending research in the viva. We have brought together three supervisors to share their experience gleaned from guiding many postgrads to PhD success. The workshop will be chaired by SGM Council member Dr Pauline Handley from the University of Manchester.

Dr Liz Sockett, University of Nottingham, will start the session with a talk on Managing your supervisor. Liz will draw on her years of experience as student, post-doc and then supervisor to take a look at the student/supervisor relationship. She will shed light on that sometimes inexplicable supervisor behaviour and will provide some hints to get over the sticky moments that many research students experience.

Professor Ian Poxton, University of Edinburgh, will follow with a talk on Writing skills. Ian has successfully supervised more than 35 students through the thesis-writing process and read many more theses in his capacity as PhD examiner. He will share his knowledge and experience to help those of you tackling transfer reports and theses. Ian is also Editor-in-Chief of JMM and will have some words of advice for first-time authors of research papers.

Dr Bob Rastall, University of Reading, will end the evening with a presentation on Strategies for a successful viva. Bob has more than a decade’s experience of helping his own research students successfully prepare for the viva and acts as external examiner to a number of universities. Bob will identify potential viva pitfalls and round up with some sound advice to help you on the way to a positive viva experience.

After a question and answer session chaired by Pauline, we hope you will join us for a glass of wine or two and a bite to eat. Tickets for the buffet and reception will be available at the end of the workshop.

Please note. Wednesday night accommodation will be covered by PG Student Conference Grants for those who wish to attend this session (www.sgm.ac.uk/grants).

Nerves now lost, we were given 25 minutes to write a New Scientist style article based on some facts provided by Mary. We then compared our efforts and the actual article—a useful exercise in the use of concise, precise but accessible English.

The second day felt more like a ‘typical’ scientific writing session. Mary and a young lecturer from Waterford Institute of Technology shared tips and experiences of writing for journals. As a final exercise, participants were asked to write an abstract for a paper recently submitted by Catherine (provided prior to the workshop) in only 40 minutes. The abstracts were judged and a prize awarded to the winner.

This intensive course was very useful and productive. Mary was careful to involve everyone in all activities. Catherine arranged a popular evening social event for anyone who wished to attend and the group had certainly bonded by the end of the two sessions.

Oral, visual and written communication skills are essential to all postgraduates and are usually addressed via university-organized events. This course covered issues of scientific written communication in an active and interesting manner—and I doubt if I can ever look at colonies on blood agar in the same way again!

Professor Joanna Verran (e.j.verran@mmu.ac.uk)
A sticky problem

Mycoplasmas are strange bacteria. They do not have a cell wall, frequently live as parasites or commensals and glide sedately over surfaces. Typical bacteria propel themselves with flagella, but there is no sign of anything like this in mycoplasmas, neither on the bacteria nor among their genes, and microbiologists have long puzzled about the mechanism.

The authors of this paper have been working on this problem for years. They study *Mycoplasma mobile*, which was isolated from fish gills. The cells are flask-shaped and glide around in the direction of the tapered end, which is therefore called a 'head-like' structure. The authors have identified minute spikes on the head-like structure that stick to glass surfaces and might be involved in motility. To investigate this, they used antibodies to block the interaction between the spikes and the glass. Their reasoning was as follows. Antibodies are made by the immune system to stick to and neutralize pathogenic bacteria. If they could find an antibody that stuck to *M. mobile*, and also stopped the bacterial cells sticking to glass, they could use it to identify exactly what part of the cells adheres to glass.

After testing hundreds of antibodies, they found one, mAB7, with exactly this property. It stuck to the head-like structure only, especially the middle and basal part of it that the researchers dubbed the 'neck'. Other antibodies stuck to other regions on the surface, but the cells could still stick to glass. When the authors discovered that mAB7 interacted with the *M. mobile* protein GII349, it fitted with information that mutant cells lacking this protein could not glide. Other antibodies reacted with four proteins on the cell surface from the Mvsp family, proving that they were part of the mycoplasma cell surface. *M. mobile* has genes for a further 12 closely related Mvsp proteins.

The authors have put all the information together to give a picture of the *M. mobile* surface. There are around 450 spikes made of GII349 clustered on the neck of the cell, while proteins MvspN, Mvsp1 and MvspO are present on the head. Mvsp1 and one further protein, MvspK, are found on the body of the cell. The remaining 12 Mvsp proteins could either be on the surface, but were not detected, or may only be made by the cell in a different environment. Since the cell surface proteins, and adhesion, are essential to the parasitic life-style of mycoplasmas, this knowledge is important for our understanding of these strange bacteria.

**A complementary approach to systematics**

An amazing amount of diversity lurks beneath the anonymous pale, slimy appearance of many bacteria. Species that are more different than a carrot and an elephant can look the same to the human eye. Bacteriologists have put a lot of effort into detecting these differences, and objective ways to assess them. There is a long tradition of using methods that rely on hierarchical clustering to create taxonomies based on both genetic and biochemical characteristics. However, researchers have been aware for over 30 years that the order in which characteristic are grouped together can affect the outcome. Useful relationships may be distorted or missed.

These authors have been trying out alternative, complementary ways to classify bacteria from the *Vibrioaceae*, some of which are important pathogens of fish. A few years ago they recorded genetic fingerprints from 507 strains, and classified them using a conventional method, Ward's hierarchical clustering algorithm. Now, they have used a very different method on the same data, and found some interesting different results.

The method optimizes a given expression in information theory. The authors chose to minimize stochastic complexity (SC), using the BinClass software package, written by Mats Gyllenberg and his colleagues. To do this, they had to convert the data into a vectorized data representation. They already knew that the way they carried out this conversion could affect the final result, but that the sliding-window discretization procedure preserved more of the original information content than other methods, so they used it.

Many of the groupings produced by the two methods were exactly the same, but the SC method also disclosed new clusters that agree with recent information about *Vibrioaceae*. For example, SC brought together strains that had been split into two clusters by the traditional hierarchical method. Recent information about these strains has shown that they are definitely all the same species. One further SC cluster brought together *Vibrio natriegius* and four strains of *V. harveyi*. These species have turned out to be highly related, so it is possible that these four strains of *V. harveyi* have been misidentified.

The researchers feel that their two analyses of the *Vibrioaceae* genetic fingerprints bring out the value of using two complementary approaches to reveal the most information about bacterial relationships.
Antimicrobial resistance in otitis media patients


Otitis media, or an infection of the ear where fluid and mucus are trapped inside the eardrum, is very common in babies and young children. In developed countries, three out of four children have suffered from this painful condition before they are 3 years old. One complication is that fluid can remain within the ear after the infection is over and may affect the child’s hearing. Several types of bacteria and viruses can cause the infection. The bacterial infections can be treated with antibiotics, but the infection sometimes recurs.

Itzhak Brook and Alan Gober from the Georgetown University School of Medicine in the USA have been investigating whether the bacteria that cause recurrent infections are more resistant to antibiotics. They analysed nasopharyngeal cultures of 72 children who had appeared at a middle-class suburban clinic, suffering from uncomplicated otitis media between September 1999 and August 2001. Forty children presented with acute otitis media and 32 with recurrent otitis media that had been treated with the antibiotic amoxicillin. The researchers defined a recurrent infection as one that followed a previous ear infection with an infection-free interval of 4–6 weeks. The clinical microbiology showed that pathogenic bacteria such as Streptococcus pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae or Moraxella catarrhalis had been identified in swabs taken from almost all of the children. Tests of the resistance of the bacteria to antibiotics indicated that many more of those isolated from the recurrent infections were resistant to a broad range of antimicrobials. The amoxicillin therapy might have selected for these resistant strains.

The researchers suggest that for effective treatment of recurrent ear infections, clinicians need to be aware of the resistance patterns of organisms like S. pneumoniae and H. influenzae within their patient community, as well as any previous treatment the patient has received. In addition, antibiotic sensitivity testing of samples from the patient may be required to prescribe suitable therapy.

Filtering prions out of blood


The protein PrPs that causes the neurodegenerative Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease (CJD) continues to surprise. For unknown reasons, it can change shape and form aggregates within the brain that result in the lethal neurodegenerative disease. Clinicians identify distinct types of disease (MM1 or MM2) depending on the size and location of the aggregates, the effects of proteinase K digestion and the numbers of sugar molecules attached to the protein. This group of researchers has been investigating how one protein can produce so many pathologies.

They focused on the size of the aggregates made by PrPs, as this is also important in measures to prevent transmission. The researchers obtained permission to test brain samples from people who had died from CJD. They filtered extracts from the samples through filters that had pores of around 0.72 millionths of a millimetre. They already knew that some PrP could pass through these holes and the idea was to use this to check the size of the aggregates, identifying them based on proteinase K digestion patterns. The filters removed particles from patients with MM2 efficiently, while MM1 passed through. As a control, they tested an extract from a person who had died with signs of both pathologies in the brain. Again, most of the particles typical of MM2 pathology were caught on the filter, while those characteristic of MM1 passed through.

The results suggest that there is a link between the type of PrP and the efficiency of removing it by filtration. The PrP aggregates in MM1 are generally small, consisting of fewer than 20 protein molecules, while those in MM2 are larger with up to 1,000 molecules. The authors concluded that any filtration methods to remove PrP from, for example, blood donations, should ensure that the smaller type of particles does not pass through.
Faye Jones, Public Affairs Administrator, describes some SGM Microbiology Awareness Campaign activities which aim to raise the profile of microbiology to politicians and opinion-formers.

On Wednesday 10 November 2004, the Royal Society of Chemistry held its Science and the Parliament event at 'Our Dynamic Earth', a science centre opposite the new Scottish Parliament building in Edinburgh. The event aims to raise awareness of science issues to MPs and civil servants working in the Scottish Parliament and the focus this year was the Scottish Executive's Science Strategy.

It attracted 340 participants from across the science and political communities, and was complemented by a parliamentary debate on science, which had probably the biggest audience ever for a members' debate. It touched on the burning issue of MRSA and hospital superbugs and its link to the lack of clinical microbiologists.

The host of first class speakers included Deputy First Minister Jim Wallace, who addressed the evening exhibition and reception and spoke of the importance of science education and the need to develop and promote the research base that underpins scientific innovation. He also commended the Scottish Parliamentary Science Information Service, which makes use of the expertise of several SGM members, for a successful first year.

Professor Sir Alfred Cuschieri, pioneer of keyhole surgery, emphasized the necessity to be aware of the changing requirements for effective science and technology research and development, as well as the training of the next generation of scientists.

SCM was among the 33 exhibitors, with an interactive display of interesting and relevant microbiological material which attracted a great deal of attention. Dr David Cally, University of Edinburgh, provided a full-length animation depicting the key stages of EHEC O157: H7 colonization of the gut of its cattle host. The SGM exhibit also included an exciting display of bioluminescent bacteria – Photobacterium phosphoreum – provided by Dr Graeme Paton of the University of Aberdeen and prepared by Professor Brian Austin of Heriot-Watt University.

As a fun reminder to the attendees of the many uses of bioluminescence, from basic laboratory research to contaminant testing in the food industry and for bioremediation, SGM gave away hundreds of glow-in-the-dark badges, 'Glo-Bugs', that were worn by exhibitors, scientists and MSPs alike.
SMG at Westminster

On 1 March next year, SMG will be holding an event in the House of Lords to raise awareness of the importance of microbiology to Parliamentarians. The theme will be Fighting Infection, following on from the House of Lords Science and Technology Committee report of the same name. Experts will address MPs, ministers and civil servants on issues important to politicians in their constituencies, including tuberculosis, malaria, HIV and other sexually transmitted infections. There will be a small exhibition. The event will be hosted by Lord Soulsby of Swaffham Prior and Dr Ian Gibson MP, both members of Parliamentary Science and Technology Committees.

What's on in science communication?

Royal Society – www.royalsoc.ac.uk
23–24 May 2005
Science Communication Conference
Hosted jointly by the BA and the Royal Society, the conference seeks to address the key issues facing UK science communicators and to play an important role in helping to develop a national strategy in this area.

4–7 July 2005
Summer Science Exhibition
This prestigious event showcases some of the UK's best science research. It features 25 exhibits and the visiting public can talk to the researchers about their work.

Competitions and awards

Famelab – www.famelab.org
Are you the new face of science? If so, you could win broadcasting time on Channel 4, a masterclass in science communication, a schedule of speaking events and £2,000 cash. The competition, sponsored by the Cheltenham Science Festival in partnership with NESTA, seeks to find new communicators of science. To enter you need to be over 18, working in science and able to present a scientific topic at one of a series of regional auditions in March and April.

Wellcome Trust Engaging Science Awards – www.wellcome.trust.ac.uk/engagingscience
People Awards of up to £30,000 can be used to support activities such as dramas, exhibitions, art projects etc. with a biomedical focus. Society Awards of £250,000+ are available to support larger activities or academic research.

Visions of Science 05

a call for images that inspire and intrigue

www.visions-of-science.co.uk

It's now time to start planning your inspiring and intriguing images for the 2005 Novartis and The Daily Telegraph Visions of Science Photographic Awards, supported by the Science Photo Library. Winning images should open our eyes to new and interesting areas of science, perhaps show something never seen before or show science in creative and unusual ways. The image may simply show a well known subject with a new twist.

Inspiration for entries may come from the natural world, from research in laboratories or in the field, from art, technology, people, medicine or conceptual science. Judges look for entries with high impact that can make viewers look again at science, nature and the world around them and consider the impact of science on their everyday lives.

The five popular categories are:

- **Action** Images should capture a scientific process or event as it happens in the natural world.
- **Close-up** Images that are beyond the naked eye.
- **People** Images should communicate the impact of science, medicine and technology on people's lives.
- **Concepts** Images should demonstrate or explain a scientific concept.
- **Art** Images should illustrate the beauty of science.

New Special Awards for 2005 are Art meets Science, Einstein Year and Medicine and Science.

Information on how to enter and entry forms are available on the Visions of Science website. The closing date for entries is 6 May 2005. Photographs taken on or after 1 January 2000 are eligible for entry and up to six images may be entered in each Category or Special Award.

Winners of the main categories will be awarded first prizes of £1,000 and second prizes of £400, sponsored by the Science Photo Library. Each of the Special Award winners will receive £500. Winning images form a touring exhibition visiting science and arts venues across the UK.

- **Upper Coloured SEM of the fungus Aspergillus fumigatus. Eye of Science / Science Photo Library**
- **Lower Computer artwork of AIDS virus particles (virions, green) erupting from the surface of T-4 lymphocyte white blood cells. Hybrid Medical Animation / Science Photo Library**
These and other reviews are posted on the SGM website, where a classified compendium of reviews from 1996 to the present is also available.

Iron Transport in Bacteria
Edited by J.H. Cross, A.R. Mey & S.M. Payne
Published by American Society for Microbiology (2004)
List Price US$119.95
Member Price US$109.95 pp. 532
ISBN 1-55581-292-9

Iron plays an important role in bacterial physiology: too little and the cell cannot synthesize essential iron-proteins for growth, but too much promotes reactions that produce hydroxyl radicals that damage the cell. As with many important molecules in the cell, the most rational method to control the intracellular concentration is at the level of transport across the cytoplasmic membrane, and this book is an attempt to present the findings on microbial iron transport from the last 50 years.

The Editors, Jorge Cross, Alexandra Mey and Shelley Payne have assembled the book from 30 small chapters, allowing them to cover many subjects in a reasonably detailed manner. The early chapters focus on siderophores and haemophores, followed by general chapters on the structures of cytoplasmic and outer-membrane transport systems that take up the iron bound by these chelating agents. The details of model iron transporters from Escherichia coli make up the next four chapters, with a general chapter on the role of Fur as a repressor of gene expression.

Unfortunately, the book was published just before the interesting publication by Vincenzo Scarlato's group that the Fur protein in Neisseria meningitidis can act as both an activator and a repressor. The next 14 chapters describe the details of iron transport in a wide range of pathogens, although a chapter on Helicobacter pylori seems notably absent. The last few chapters contain a description of iron uptake and regulation in rhizobia, but apart from this and the earlier chapters on E. coli K-12, the book has a very strong leaning to pathogens.

The method of presentation generally works well, so that a reader can quickly find specialist information about iron transport in a particular organism, but the Editors chose a method where research papers are not cited in the text and only suggested reading is provided at the end of each article. This makes the text easier to read and is suitable for the introductory chapters, but is infuriating in the specialized chapters as it is not possible to identify quickly the primary source for a particular interesting fact. The Editors have also done a pretty good job of removing large overlap between the chapters, but there is inevitably repetition – the function of a siderophore is described in almost every chapter! The book has also omitted a few topics that it would have been useful to include. Iron storage is an additional method to control the intracellular concentration of iron that is intimately linked to transport and yet there is no coverage of this. Also, many chapters mention iron transport in yeast for a comparison and a chapter on this and other non-bacterial iron transporters would have been helpful. Recent microarray data from Jay Hinton's lab has suggested that the intracellular environment chosen by certain pathogens is not iron-limited and the book would have benefitted from a general chapter relating the in vivo environments of these pathogens to the ranges and concentrations of iron sources available, giving its strong bias for iron transport in pathogens.

Who will buy this book? It will obviously appeal to all interested in iron transport and can potentially attract more general readers working on the organisms covered in the specialized chapters, although these might be a little short to justify buying the whole book. Also, as some of the most well characterized solute transport systems from bacteria are involved in movement of iron, the early chapters have a more general value to scientists interested in microbial transport per se and the presentation of the material in relatively short chapters means that it is easy to dip into the book and find accurate information quickly. It will certainly be on my shelf for the immediate future as a general reference text for membrane transport structure and function, and would be an invaluable reference for anyone with an interest in iron transport.
title, the subject of biofilm research is viewed from a variety of perspectives. Amid chapters on the history of the biofilm concept and future directions are those related to human, plant and environmental biofilm systems. The book’s content is a credit to a series of contributors, each of whom has put together a concise and informative chapter. As well as evaluating their complexity, diversity and structure, also discussed is the behaviour of biofilms in terms of antimicrobial resistance and genetic exchange. Of interest is the implication of biofilms in disease. Indeed, this area comprises several sections with one dedicated to the current key issue of hospital-acquired infections.

I believe this book would be useful to both new biofilm researchers and those wanting a current reference book on the numerous topics in this interesting area.

Jonathan Pratten, Eastman Dental Institute, UCL

Mycorrhizas: Anatomy and Cell Biology
By R.L. Peterson, H.B. Massicotte & L.H. Melville
Published by CABI Publishing (2004)
£40.00/US$70.00 pp. 196
ISBN 0-85199-901-8

Apart from some mushrooms and toadstools, most mycorrhizal fungi have few if any above-ground structures visible to the naked eye. I spend most of my time looking at sequences and other molecular data derived from such fungi, so this book came as a refreshing reminder that these fungi have a complex anatomy and some very intricate structures. These are beautifully illustrated in this book, using both micrographs and diagrams and it is an appealing book for these alone. The material is up-to-date, with current techniques and debates presented in an accessible ‘box’ format. Undergraduate and postgraduate researchers alike embarking on mycorrhizal projects and who will be interpreting unfamiliar microscopic material, should find this a valuable resource. The accompanying text is approachable, and will appeal to field mycologists who would like to explore the world beneath their feet. This is a timely publication in a fascinating field.

Thorunn Helgason, University of York

Edited by G.A. Kowalchuk, F.J. de Bruijn, I.M. Head, A.D.L. Akkermans & J. Dirk van Elsas
Published by Kluwer Academic (2004)
€395.00/US$435.00/£273.00
Vol. 1 pp. 849; Vol. 2 pp. 1,774
ISBN 1-4020-2176-3

This multi-author, well referenced, two-volume book describes techniques for molecular microbial ecology. Vol. 1 has three sections on isolation, detection and applications of nucleic acid sequences whilst Vol. 2 has five sections dealing with detecting gene transfer, reporter systems, statistical and computer analyses and methods to assess microbial activities. Each chapter has an introduction and detailed protocols with varying amounts of additional information such as useful notes to guide the non-expert. Strengths include protocols for just about any technique a microbial ecologist might wish to use. However, the emerging high-throughput techniques (described in a handful of chapters) may eventually render some of the described methods obsolete. There is no indexing; contents of the volumes are described in a loose booklet, easily lost in a busy laboratory! Overall, an excellent all-round reference source of techniques probably aimed at institutional purchase.

Clive Edwards, University of Liverpool

Reviews on the web
Reviews of the following books are available on the website at www.sgm.ac.uk/ pubs/micro_today/reviews.cfm

Infectious Disease and Host–Pathogen Evolution
Foot-and-Mouth Disease: Current Perspectives
Public Health Microbiology. Methods in Molecular Biology, Vol. 268
Pathogenic Fungi: (1) Structural Biology and Taxonomy; (2) Host Interactions and Emerging Strategies for Control
Molecular Epidemiology of Infectious Diseases: Principles and Practices.
Concise Encyclopedia of Bioresource Technology
Microbial Functional Genomics
The Pneumococcus
Pocket Guide to Clinical Microbiology
3rd Edition
The Metabolism and Molecular Physiology of Saccharomyces cerevisiae, 2nd Edition
The Innate Immune Response to Infection
The Internet for Cell and Molecular Biologists, Second Edition
Bacteria for Breakfast: Probiotics for Good Health
Genomics: Applications in Human Biology
Plasmid Biology
June Lascelles, Professor Emerita of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics at the University of California, Los Angeles, died at her home in Los Angeles, aged 80. June devoted her life to microbial biochemistry and to those she taught and supervised. She had a tremendous and infectious enthusiasm for research on a wide variety of micro-organisms, and she is particularly well known for her work on the purple non-sulfur photosynthetic bacteria. Her pioneering physiological and biochemical studies, which frequently exploited mutants, provided fundamental insights into tetrapyrrole biosynthesis and other metabolic processes, laying down foundations and concepts that are still achieving new relevance in the post-genomic era.

June Lascelles was born and raised in Sydney, Australia. She graduated from the University of Sydney with a First Class BSc degree in Biochemistry in 1944, followed by an MSc in 1947. She was awarded an 1851 Exhibition Fellowship and elected to work in the Microbiology Unit of the Department of Biochemistry in Oxford. Her supervisor was Donald Woods and with him she established that pAB is converted to folic acid, that the conversion rate is tenfold higher in sulfonamide-resistant organisms and that folic acid is involved in amino acid biosynthesis. After receiving a DPhil in 1952, June stayed in Oxford and was appointed Lecturer in Microbial Biochemistry in 1960.

At this stage she developed new projects in microbial biochemistry, making very significant contributions to our understanding of the general and respiratory metabolism of Staphylococcus aureus, the C- and inorganic S-metabolism of photosynthetic bacteria, and she achieved early distinction for her contribution to microbial porphyrin synthesis. An important motivating factor for these studies was the value then attached to the use of bacteria to inform on the basic metabolites and metabolic reactions of higher organisms. Her tremendous enthusiasm for a wide diversity of micro-organisms was confirmed during an exciting year (1956/7) spent with C.B. van Niel at the Hopkins Marine Station (California) isolating and studying various 'exotics' like Thiorhodum, Thiothrix and Cytophaga as well many species of anoxygenic photosynthetic. Her broad approach is reflected in publications addressing fundamental metabolic problems in at least 20 species ranging from Staphylococcus to Tetrahymena, Campylobacter to Physarum, and Paracoccus to purple non-sulfur photosynthetic bacteria.

In 1965 June was invited to a Chair in Bacteriology at the University of California, Los Angeles. A major theme of June's research from 1955 to 1980 was the synthesis and regulation of haem and bacteriochlorophyll (Bchl) in Rhodobacter sphaeroides. This classic study established that light and oxygen affect the synthesis of several enzymes used in the biosynthesis of both haem and Bchl, and provided the basis of our current understanding of tetrapyrrole synthesis in photosynthetic bacteria. She was one of the first to select mutants having defects in tetrapyrrole synthesis, and exploit them in identifying individual enzymic steps in the biosynthetic pathways. June’s influential scientific reviews and exceptional editorial and reviewing skills were widely valued by scientists, publishers and grant-awarding bodies, worldwide. June retired in 1989 and was made a Fellow of the American Association for the Advancement of Science in 1990. She worked every day until 2 years before her death.

June was modest and self-effacing but forthright, plain-speaking, proud of her Australian heritage, and seriously professional. Her industry and thoroughness are legendary. She had a very positive attitude to life, a great sense of humour, and a highly developed social conscience. She was no respecter of class, royalty or the Church, and impatient of some of the ancient practices of Oxford college life. Most of all she will be remembered for her great kindness and generosity to young scientists. She was always approachable, prepared to listen, took a genuine interest in their work, and gave wise counsel and encouragement. Her death was announced to Departmental colleagues in words that included, 'She will be missed as an accomplished scholar, dedicated learner, highly respected experimentalist, unique role model and rare friend'.

John R. Guest
University of Sheffield, UK
Howard Gest
Indiana University, Bloomington, USA
MRSA is constantly in the headlines and is even the focus of 'campaigns' in some UK tabloids. It is often suggested that improved hospital hygiene will solve the problem, but as Mark Enright describes, the issue is far more complex than the public realize.

The UK MRSA epidemic may largely be explained by the emergence of particularly transmissible genotypes meeting an increasing number of vulnerable hosts. In other countries changes in the population structure of hospital S. aureus were not so dramatic although MRSA has become increasingly multidrug resistant.

Although MRSA is a major problem in most industrialized societies, some parts of Europe have very low rates. In Scandinavia and the Netherlands MRSA isolation rates are only 1-2 %, presumably due to radical search and destroy policies which keep affected patients out of shared wards until carriage can be eliminated. UK government policy is to import infection control experts from such countries to help combat endemic MRSA. This may seem laudable but it is not at all clear how personnel who are good at keeping MRSA out of hospitals will respond to the challenge of reducing infection rates – surely quite different strategies are required.

The Department of Health has set a target to reduce the UK MRSA bacteraemia rate by 50% by 2008, apparently by raising hygiene standards and improving infection control practices. Better hand hygiene will have some effect, but only a radical measure such as isolating all patients with highly transmissible MRSA would really solve the problem. However this would require a politically unacceptable level of resource and lengthen hospital waiting lists. Analysis of the results of successful local interventions to reduce MRSA rates is needed, but comprehensive data on MRSA from all samples should also be collected nationally. Presently only the number of MRSA blood infections in each NHS Trust is published yet MRSA colonizes and invades many body tissues, including the lung, skin and bone. A true model of how MRSA comes into hospital, colonizes staff and patients and then causes serious disease is lacking and full information on all S. aureus in a unit and their transmission routes is required.

There is little large-scale government spending on microbiology research in this area through the NHS or MRC. This is unacceptable. Such studies would give a deeper understanding of how the MRSA pandemic started, which may allow us to begin to tackle the problem and prevent future epidemics. MRSA is changing rapidly and beside hospital strains with resistance to all antibiotic classes, some types are finding niches in the community, causing disease in healthy young people with no prior hospital exposure. Hospital hygiene initiatives are all very well but clean hospitals and motivated staff should be the norm and we should be finding out how to eradicate this international problem.

Mark C. Enright
Department of Biology and Biochemistry, University of Bath, Bath BA2 7AY, UK (m.c.enright@bath.ac.uk)
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