Travel Grant scoring criteria

	criterion	score
Current position	PhD student (final/3rd year).	4
	postdoctoral researcher	
	career returner.	
	PhD student (any other year).	3
	Research Assistant.	
	teaching fellow.	
	technician.	
	research group leader.	2
	lecturer.	
	other.	
benefits to research	The applicant provides specific details, such as topics, concepts, and issues regarding their research/work and its relevance to the conference/event the applicant is attending.	4
	The applicant provides general information regarding topics, concepts and issues regarding their research/ work and its relevance to the conference/event the applicant is attending.	2
	The applicant provides a description of the conference/event but explanation of the conference's relevance to their research/work is vague.	1
	Conference/event is not relevant to applicant's research or work.	0
professional development	The applicant is specific as to this conference/event's relationship to future professional goals and current research interests.	4
	The applicant is vague about the conference/event's relationship to future professional goals and current research interests.	2
	Relevance of the conference/event to the applicant's professional development is unclear or under-developed.	1
	Conference/event is not relevant to applicant's professional development.	0
costs	Applicant describes a detailed and complete budget (travel, lodging, etc) and seeks cost saving measures.	4
	Applicant provides detailed and complete information regarding budget but no cost saving measures are obvious.	2
	Applicant provides information regarding budget but it is incomplete/insufficient/unrealistic.	1
	Applicant does not provide information regarding budget.	0
abstract	provided - directly relevant to conference.	4
	provided - not strictly relevant to conference.	1
	not provided - no abstract necessary (e.g. training course)	4
	not provided - abstract necessary.	0